

**Committee on Trade and Development  
Special Session**

**REPORT TO THE GENERAL COUNCIL<sup>1</sup>**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. Paragraph 44 of the Doha Ministerial Declaration reaffirmed that "provisions for special and differential treatment are an integral part of the WTO Agreements" and directed that "all special and differential treatment provisions shall be reviewed with a view to strengthening them and making them more precise, effective and operational." Ministers also endorsed the Work Programme on special and differential treatment set out in the Decision on Implementation-Related Issues and Concerns, and as per paragraph 12.1 of the Decision directed the Committee on Trade and Development (CTD):

- (i) "to identify those special and differential treatment provisions that are already mandatory in nature and those that are non-binding in character, to consider the legal and practical implications for developed and developing Members of converting special and differential treatment measures into mandatory provisions, to identify those that Members consider should be made mandatory, and to report to the General Council with clear recommendations for a decision by July 2002;
- (ii) to examine additional ways in which special and differential treatment provisions can be made more effective, to consider ways, including improved information flows, in which developing countries, in particular the least-developed countries, may be assisted to make best use of special and differential treatment provisions, and to report to the General Council with clear recommendations for a decision by July 2002; and
- (iii) to consider, in the context of the Work Programme adopted at the Fourth Session of the Ministerial Conference, how special and differential treatment may be incorporated into the architecture of WTO rules."

2. In pursuance of this mandate the Trade Negotiations Committee (TNC) in its meeting held on 28 January - 1 February 2002, agreed that "...the review of all special and differential treatment provisions with a view to strengthening them and making them more precise, effective and operational provided for in paragraph 44 of the Ministerial Declaration shall be carried out by the Committee on Trade and Development in Special Sessions."

3. Accordingly the Special Session of the CTD was established and Ambassador Ransford Smith of Jamaica elected its Chairman. The First meeting of the Special Session was held on 5 March 2002

---

<sup>1</sup> This report is not intended to be an exhaustive compilation of all the issues that have been raised. Neither does it prejudice Members' position on those issues.

and five more formal meetings and a number of informal meetings have been held thereafter. A number of submissions have been tabled, and these are indicated at Annex I.

## II. CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES

4. A number of systemic and institutional cross-cutting issues were raised both in submissions from Members, as well as in the discussion on those submissions. These included issues relating to the principles and objectives of special and differential treatment, including the utility of a clearer definition and understanding on these principles in assessing the effectiveness of special and differential treatment provisions; a single or multi-tiered structure of rights and obligations; coherence; bench marking; technical assistance and capacity building; transition periods; trade preferences; utilisation; and universal or differentiated treatment, including graduation. A listing of these issues together with references to relevant written submissions is contained in Annex II. While there was discussion on most of these issues, some Members considered that these were important and, in some cases, fundamental issues, which would need to be looked at and examined in greater depth. Other Members expressed the view that they would analyse whether these issues were within the mandate of paragraph 44 of the Doha Ministerial Declaration and paragraph 12 of the Decision on Implementation-Related Issues and Concerns.

5. In addition the Special Session also had an exchange of views on the submission by Paraguay on the Enabling Clause, and a number of systemic issues which arose therefrom.<sup>2</sup> The submission proposed that preferential treatment, except to least-developed countries (LDCs), should be provided on a universal and non-discriminatory basis and expressed concern that non-compliance with the Enabling Clause, including through waivers, gave rise to discriminatory treatment. On the other hand, a number of countries expressed a view that certain Members had particular needs and vulnerabilities that required preferential treatment and that the WTO Rules provided recourse to non-universal treatment, including through waivers. These Members expressed the view that there needed to be flexibility so as to be able to address effectively the constraints and circumstances of different developing countries. However, other Members underscored their view that special and differential treatment should not be provided in a manner that was prejudicial to the interests of any developing country. And some Members stressed the need to implement the Enabling Clause in accordance with paragraph 12.2 of the Decision on Implementation-Related Issues and Concerns. As a result of the initial submission and discussions, additional written submissions were made by the proponent and another Member.

6. A number of cross-cutting institutional issues were also raised. Some preliminary discussion was held on these, including on (i) a Monitoring Mechanism; (ii) an Annual Special Session of the General Council on LDCs participation; (iii) a Facility under the Doha Development Agenda Trust Fund; and (iv) proposals on technical assistance and training.

7. The proposal for the establishment of a Monitoring Mechanism was made by the African Group. In the Group's view, among the main functions of the Mechanism would be (i) the regular evaluation of the utilization and effectiveness of the special and differential treatment provisions, with a view to ensuring that the provisions are duly utilized and any problems arising effectively addressed, and (ii) provision of a framework for initiating and considering recommendations that the CTD could make to Members on complying with obligations under special and differential treatment provisions, as well as best practice for utilization of the provisions. There was wide support for the concept, although it was clear that further discussions would be required on the functioning and structure of such a mechanism.

---

<sup>2</sup> The discussions on this issue are reflected in the Minutes of the Meeting of the Special Session of the CTD held on 14 June 2002 (TN/CTD/M/4).

8. The proposal for an Annual Special Session of the General Council on the participation of the LDCs in the multilateral trading system was made by the LDCs Group. They proposed that the agenda of such an annual session could encompass (i) the implementation of the Decision on Measures in Favour of Least-Developed Countries and its overall objectives in favour of LDCs; (ii) the review of the implementation of the specific special and differential provisions included in the WTO Agreements, Decisions and Declarations; and (iii) overall review of special and differential treatment. Some Members were of the view that should a monitoring mechanism be established its role and functions could encompass those sought through the proposed holding of an annual special session, although it was emphasized that this would have to be without dilution of the concerns of the LDCs. Others suggested that the various proposals and their role and functions would need to be assessed in the context of existing machinery such as the Sub-Committee on the Least-Developed Countries and the Integrated Framework.

9. Finally, the African Group proposed the establishment of a Facility under the Doha Development Agenda Trust Fund to ensure the financial underpinning/backing necessary for the effective utilisation of special and differential treatment provisions under the various Agreements, and that would be a permanent financial arrangement for special and differential treatment provisions. There was an initial consideration of this issue but it was generally felt that an in-depth examination, with a view to making clear recommendations, would require additional time. The African Group had also in Annexes to its submission outlined criteria for technical and financial assistance and training. It was proposed that these should be given further consideration in the context of the development of Technical Assistance Plans.

### **III. AGREEMENT-SPECIFIC PROPOSALS AND ISSUES**

10. A number of proposals were submitted on specific provisions of the Agreements, Understandings and Decisions, for examination in the context of the mandate contained in paragraph 44 of the Doha Ministerial Declaration and paragraph 12 of the Decision on Implementation-Related Issues and Concerns. A synoptic table indicating the proposals made on specific provisions under the various Agreements is at Annex III.

11. These proposals were introduced and certain clarifications provided during the discussions. A number of Members cited the large number of Agreement-specific proposals (approximately eighty), what they viewed as the complexity and potential implications of some of the proposals, the need to examine individually the legal and practical implications, and a shortage of time, as factors that prevented them from being able to engage in more than a preliminary consideration of many of the proposals. Some Members indicated that the proposals were still being considered by their authorities in capitals. Another consideration raised by some Members was their view that a discussion of some cross-cutting and systemic issues was necessary in order to facilitate their consideration of the Agreement-specific proposals. Yet another issue raised was the need to ensure complementarity and coordination between the work of the Special Session and the work of other bodies, both those which were usually attended by experts in technical areas as well as other bodies undertaking negotiations in areas on which proposals were also before the Special Session.

12. A large number of delegations expressed concern that, in their view, insufficient progress had been made in considering and taking decisions on the Agreement-specific proposals. Their view was that progress could have been made on at least some of these proposals and that the Agreement-specific proposals should be resolved before addressing cross-cutting issues. Others, citing the factors outlined earlier, were of the view that more time and further discussions were required.

13. Further detailed and intensive work is required to be carried out in this regard.

#### IV. THE WAY FORWARD

14. The Ministers mandated the CTD to "report to the General Council with clear recommendations for a decision by July 2002". A large number of issues, including some that are complex, have been raised, both in the written submissions and the ensuing discussions, and while some recommendations can be made, a significant amount of work remains to be done before Members can agree on clear recommendations in a number of areas, in accordance with the Ministerial mandate. It is therefore recommended that the General Council instruct the Special Session of the CTD to proceed expeditiously to fulfil its mandate, as contained in paragraph 44 of the Doha Ministerial Declaration and paragraph 12 of the Decision on Implementation-Related Issues and Concerns, so as to be able to report to the General Council with clear recommendations for decision by 31 December 2002.

15. It is recommended that the Special Session of the CTD be instructed to continue, within the parameters of the mandate contained in paragraph 44 of the Doha Ministerial Declaration and paragraph 12 of the Decision on Implementation-Related Issues and Concerns, the analysis and examination of the various Agreement-specific proposals and issues that have been raised in the written submissions and the discussions, and to do so:

- (a) on the basis of a possible ordering of these proposals for consideration in appropriate clusters;
- (b) utilizing, as appropriate, the expertise available in other WTO bodies and negotiating groups, and facilitating this through requesting and receiving reports from these bodies, and where feasible, through the holding of back-to-back meetings of the Special Session with the meetings of these bodies and groups.

Members should provide detailed responses to the various Agreement-specific proposals by 31 October 2002.

16. It is recommended further that the Special Session of the CTD be instructed to continue, at the same time, within the parameters of the mandate contained in paragraph 44 of the Doha Ministerial Declaration and paragraph 12 of the Decision on Implementation-Related Issues and Concerns, the analysis and examination of the various cross-cutting issues and proposals that have been raised in the written submissions and the discussions.

17. It is recommended that the General Council agree to establish a Monitoring Mechanism for special and differential treatment, and instruct the Special Session of the CTD to elaborate for the Council's approval, the functions, structure and terms of reference of such a Mechanism, taking into account the proposals made by the African Group, and the discussions that have taken place thereon in Special Sessions of the CTD.

18. It is recommended that the General Council also instruct the Special Session of the CTD (i) to continue the examination of other proposals on institutional arrangements made by the African and LDCs Group, and (ii) to submit the proposals on criteria for technical and financial assistance and training, referred to in paragraph 9 above, to the CTD in Regular Session, for inclusion of any agreed elements in future Technical Assistance Plans, without prejudice to further discussion of the relevant Annexes in the Special Sessions of the CTD.

19. It is recommended that the General Council further instruct the Special Session of the CTD to consider also how special and differential treatment may be incorporated into the architecture of WTO rules, in accordance with Paragraph 12.1(iii) of the Ministerial Decision on Implementation-Related Issues and Concerns.

## ANNEX I

### **Submissions received in the Special Session of the Committee on Trade and Development** **April – July 2002**

- TN/CTD/W/1 from Cuba, Dominican Republic, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Tanzania & Zimbabwe
- TN/CTD/W/2 from Cuba, Dominican Republic, Egypt, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Mauritius, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Tanzania & Zimbabwe
- TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1, TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1/Add.1 from the African Group
- TN/CTD/W/4 and TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1 from the LDCs
- TN/CTD/W/5, TN/CTD/W/5/Add.1 and TN/CTD/W/5/Add.2 from Paraguay
- TN/CTD/W/6 from India
- TN/CTD/W/7 from Thailand
- TN/CTD/W/8 from St. Lucia
- TN/CTD/W/9 from the United States
- TN/CTD/W/10 from Hungary
- TN/CTD/W/11 from Japan.

**ANNEX II**

**TABLE OF SUBMISSIONS BY SOME MEMBERS ON CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>PROPONENT &amp; DOCUMENT SYMBOL</b>
<b>Principles &amp; objectives</b>	African Group – TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
	African Group – TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1/Add.1
	LDCs – TN/CTD/W/4
	United States – TN/CTD/W/9
	Japan – TN/CTD/W/11
<b>Single-tiered, two-tiered or multi-tiered structure of rights and obligations?</b>	African Group – TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
	LDCs - TN/CTD/W/4
<b>Coherence</b>	African Group – TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
	LDCs – TN/CTD/W/4
<b>Bench marks</b>	LDCs – TN/CTD/W/4
<b>Technical Assistance and Capacity Building</b>	African Group – TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
	United States - TN/CTD/W/9
<b>Transition periods</b>	African Group – TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
	United States - TN/CTD/W/9
<b>Utilization</b>	United States - TN/CTD/W/9
	Japan– TN/CTD/W/11
<b>Trade Preferences and related issues, including the Enabling Clause</b>	Paraguay – TN/CTD/W/5, TN/CTD/W/5/Add.1 and TN/CTD/W/5/Add.2
	African Group – TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
	LDCs – TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
	Hungary – TN/CTD/W/10
<b>Universal or differentiated treatment?</b>	African Group – TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>Issues related to graduation</b>	United States – TN/CTD/W/9

ANNEX III

**TABLE OF SUBMISSIONS BY SOME MEMBERS ON AGREEMENTS & DECISIONS, AS OF 23 JULY 2002**

<b>AGREEMENT &amp; ARTICLE / PARAGRAPH</b>	<b>NUMBER OF PROPOSALS</b>	<b>PROPONENT(S)</b>	<b>DOCUMENT REFERENCE</b>
<b>GENERAL AGREEMENT ON TARIFFS AND TRADE 1994 (GATT 1994)</b>			
Whole Agreement	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article XVIII:A	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article XVIII:B	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article XVIII:C	2	St. Lucia LDCs	TN/CTD/W/8 TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
Article XXXVI	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article XXXVII	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article XXXVIII	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>UNDERSTANDING ON THE INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE II:1(B) OF THE GATT 1994</b>			
Proposal	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>UNDERSTANDING ON THE INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE XVII OF THE GATT 1994</b>			
Proposal	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>UNDERSTANDING ON BALANCE-OF-PAYMENTS PROVISIONS OF THE GATT 1994</b>			
Paragraph 8	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
Proposal	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2

<b>AGREEMENT &amp; ARTICLE / PARAGRAPH</b>	<b>NUMBER OF PROPOSALS</b>	<b>PROPONENT(S)</b>	<b>DOCUMENT REFERENCE</b>
<b>UNDERSTANDING ON THE INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE XXIV OF THE GATT 1994</b>			
Proposal	2	African Group LDCs	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2 TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
<b>UNDERSTANDING IN RESPECT OF WAIVERS OF OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE GATT 1994</b>			
Proposal	2	African Group LDCs	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2 TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
<b>UNDERSTANDING ON THE INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE XXVIII OF THE GATT 1994</b>			
Paragraph 1	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>AGREEMENT ON AGRICULTURE</b>			
Article 6.2	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 14	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 15.1	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 15.2	2	African Group LDCs	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2 TN/CTD/W/4
<b>AGREEMENT ON SANITARY AND PHYTO-SANITARY MEASURES (SPS)</b>			
Article 9.2	2	LMG African Group	TN/CTD/W/2 TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 10.1	2	LMG African Group	TN/CTD/W/2 TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 10.2 & Paragraph 3.1 of the Decision on Implementation-Related Issues and Concerns	1	India	TN/CTD/W/6



<b>AGREEMENT &amp; ARTICLE / PARAGRAPH</b>	<b>NUMBER OF PROPOSALS</b>	<b>PROPONENT(S)</b>	<b>DOCUMENT REFERENCE</b>
Article 10.3	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/2 TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 10.4 & <i>Vide</i> Paragraph 3.5 of the Decision on Implementation-Related Issues and Concerns	1	India	TN/CTD/W/6
Article 10.4	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>AGREEMENT ON TEXTILES AND CLOTHING</b>			
Paragraph 2 of Article 1 & Paragraph 18 of Article 2	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
Articles 2.18 & 6.6	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>AGREEMENT ON TECHNICAL BARRIERS TO TRADE (TBT)</b>			
Articles 11 & 12	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 12.3	1	LMG	TN/CTD/W/2
<b>AGREEMENT ON TRADE-RELATED INVESTMENT MEASURES (TRIMS)</b>			
Whole Agreement	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4
Article 3	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 4	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 5.3	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>AGREEMENT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE VI OF THE GATT 1994 (ANTI-DUMPING)</b>			
Article 15	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2

<b>AGREEMENT &amp; ARTICLE / PARAGRAPH</b>	<b>NUMBER OF PROPOSALS</b>	<b>PROPONENT(S)</b>	<b>DOCUMENT REFERENCE</b>
<b>AGREEMENT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE VII OF GATT 1994 (CUSTOMS VALUATION)</b>			
Article 20.1-2	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 20.3	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>AGREEMENT ON PRESHIPMENT INSPECTION (PSI)</b>			
Article 3.3	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>AGREEMENT ON RULES OF ORIGIN</b>			
Proposal	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>AGREEMENT ON IMPORT LICENCING</b>			
Article 1.2	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 3.5	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 3.5 (a)(iv)	1	Thailand	TN/CTD/W/7
Article 3.5 (j)	1	India	TN/CTD/W/6
<b>AGREEMENT ON SUBSIDIES AND COUNTER-VAILING MEASURES</b>			
Paragraph 1 of Article 2 1 & Paragraph 4 of Article III of GATT 1994 (and paragraphs 1 (b) & 27.3 of the Subsidies Agreement)	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4
Article 27.1	2	Some of the LMG members African Group	TN/CTD/W/1 TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 27.4	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2

<b>AGREEMENT &amp; ARTICLE / PARAGRAPH</b>	<b>NUMBER OF PROPOSALS</b>	<b>PROPONENT(S)</b>	<b>DOCUMENT REFERENCE</b>
Article 27.8	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 27.9	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 27.13	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 27.15	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>AGREEMENT ON SAFEGUARDS</b>			
Article 9.1-2	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>GENERAL AGREEMENT ON TRADE IN SERVICES (GATS)</b>			
Article IV	2	African Group LDCs	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2 TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
Article IV:1	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
Article IV:2	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
Article IV:3	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
Article V:3	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article XXV	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>Annex on Telecommunications</b>			
Paragraph 6	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
<b>AGREEMENT ON TRADE-RELATED ASPECTS OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (TRIPS)</b>			
Articles 65, 66.1, 70.8 & 70.9	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Articles 7, 8 & 66.2	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 66.1	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1

<b>AGREEMENT &amp; ARTICLE / PARAGRAPH</b>	<b>NUMBER OF PROPOSALS</b>	<b>PROPONENT(S)</b>	<b>DOCUMENT REFERENCE</b>
Article 67	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
<b>UNDER-STANDING ON RULES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING THE SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES (DSU)</b>			
Article 4.10	2	India African Group	TN/CTD/W/6 TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 8.10	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 12.10	2	LMG African Group	TN/CTD/W/2 TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 12.11	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 21.2	1	India	TN/CTD/W/6
Articles 21.2, 21.7 & 21.8	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Article 24.1	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
<b>DECISION ON MEASURES IN FAVOUR OF LEAST-DEVELOPED COUNTRIES</b>			
Paragraph 1	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Paragraph 2	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Paragraph 2 (ii)	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4
<b>DECISION ON MEASURES CONCERNING THE POSSIBLE NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF THE REFORM PROGRAMME ON LEAST-DEVELOPED AND NET FOOD-IMPORTING DEVELOPING COUNTRIES</b>			
Proposal	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2

AGREEMENT & ARTICLE / PARAGRAPH	NUMBER OF PROPOSALS	PROPONENT(S)	DOCUMENT REFERENCE
<b>DIFFERENTIAL AND MORE FAVOURABLE TREATMENT, RECIPROCITY AND FULLER PARTICIPATION OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES (ENABLING CLAUSE): DECISION OF 28 NOVEMBER 1979</b>			
Paragraph 1 & 2(d)	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
Paragraph 3 (d)	1	LDCs	TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1
Proposal	1	African Group	TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2
Whole Decision	2	Paraguay LDCs	TN/CTD/W/5, TN/CTD/W/5/Add.1 and TN/CTD/W/5/Add.2 TN/CTD/W/4/Add.1

**Note** – the provisions referred to in Annexes III & IV of TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.1 and TN/CTD/W/3/Rev.2 are not included in this table.